

MALAYSIA – INTERVENTION SCORECARD

No.	Study	Author(s)	Year	Classification	Justification
1	<i>Women Entrepreneurs and Microcredit: Evidence from AIM Participants in Kelantan</i>	Rahmah Ismail et al.	2018	Mixed	Inconsistent post-loan gains in income and savings and no business expansion.
2	<i>Government Support, Risk-Taking Propensity, and Women's Business Entry</i>	Yusoff et al.	2021	Mixed	Psychological effects observed; no sustained business growth or profit link.
3	<i>A Framework for Evaluating Women Entrepreneurs' Business Performance</i>	Nor, Abdullah, & Wahab	2017	Mixed	Conceptual model strong; no implementation or policy adoption.
4	<i>Women Entrepreneurs and Microcredit Sustainability in AIM</i>	Shamsuddin et al.	2020	Mixed	High borrowing activity; monitoring did not link lending to outcomes.
5	<i>TEKUN Nasional's Role in Supporting Women Entrepreneurs</i>	Omar et al.	2022	Mixed	High participation and satisfaction; no sustained income or profit gains.
6	<i>Impact of SME Corp Malaysia Programs on Women-Led Businesses</i>	Saufi et al.	2021	Mixed	High awareness and participation; showed limited profitability change.
7	<i>Effectiveness of MARA's Entrepreneurial Support Services</i>	Mohd Jani et al.	2023	Mixed	Services were delivered; beneficiaries lacked access to markets or scale.
8	<i>The Sustainability of Women Entrepreneurs in Malaysia</i>	Abu Bakar et al.	2019	Mixed	Identified barriers like financing and gender bias; no performance tracking.
9	<i>AIM Women Borrowers' Exit Patterns and Causes</i>	Mokhtar et al.	2022	Mixed	Revealed default and dropout patterns; offered no structural reform of lending model.
10	<i>Gender Empowerment Through Entrepreneurial Policy in Malaysia</i>	Zainol & Nor	2017	Mixed	Strong intent; weak enforcement; no results tracking.